REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-24 were withdrawn from consideration, but are now cancelled. Claims

25-62 are pending. Claims 32-62 are newly added. Claims 25-31 have been amended.

Claim 25 was amended to recite all the limitations of cancelled Claim 14. New

claims 32 and 62 are based on subject matter of paragraph [0007]. New claims 33-42

generally correspond to canceled claims 15-24, but ultimately depend from claim 25.

New claim 43 is a composite of original claim 35 and claim 1. New claims 44-55

generally correspond to canceled claims 2-13, but depend from claim 43. New claims

56-61 generally correspond to claims 26-31, but depend from claim 43.

The original application was filed with thirty-one claims. Twenty-four claims were

canceled. Thirty-one claims added. Thus, an additional seven total claims are added

by this amendment.

Claims 25 and 28 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by

Gobidas. Claims 25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated

by Funger. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over any

of Gobidas, Zvi or Funger in view of Sing.

These rejections are traversed in view of the present amendment.

Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) over any of Gobidas, Zvi or

Funger in view of Norman. This rejection is traversed in view of the present amendment

None of the citations provide an apparatus or method responsive to ascertaining

properties necessary to at least satisfy specific requirements for a panel suited for use

on a particular kind of construction and then choose and obtain the particular kind of

Page 12 of 13

#74752 v1 103533-43091 Appln. 10/081,034

Office Action dated September 5, 2003

Response dated December 15, 2003

recycled or waste material accordingly, Withdrawal of the rejection is warranted and

requested. Claim 43, which corresponds to the combination panel of apparatus of claim

25 as a combination panel and method, contains corresponding language to that of

claim 25 and thus is allowable for the same reason. The newly dependent claims are

allowable at least because of their dependency on presumably allowable base claims.

Applicant responded to the Office Action dated September 5, 2003 via fax on

September 24, 2003 requesting reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement.

However, the serial number on the Amendment was incorrect. Accordingly, applicant

corrected the serial number on page 1 of the Amendment and re-sent it via fax on

December 5, 2003. In view of the current Amendment, enclosed is a Petition for a One

Month Extension of Time plus an applicable fee in the amount of \$55.00 under 37 CFR

1.17(a)(1). The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or to credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account 03-3839.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued

in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Gibbons, Del Deo, Dolan, Griffinger &

Vecchione, P.C.

Βv

Robert J. Hess

Reg. No. 32,139

Tel.: (212) 649-4700

Page 13 of 13

#74752 v1 103533-43091